# Involved Member(s):

Trooper Alfonso I Burke

1

Case Type: Incident Review Case Number: DCN2020-0077 Finding: Unfounded

Complainant:

Pers	sonnel (	Complaint	Work Sh	eet	C	ase Nu	A CONTRACT DEC	-	
Type: IR		Sub Type:		Inciden	t Date: 2	2/7/20	Incide PM	nt Time	: 4:30
.jps.iit		our ijpo.				or Troop	Level of Investigat		ation
nitiated By:	⊠C = Citize	n ⊡S = NYSP	CTV:	F3663	Detail	Т	Т	1	
Mode Receive	d 🛛 EM	ail 🗌 Fax		rson 🗆	Letter	D Pho	one		rvey
		for a VTL offen: d by Trooper B							
Subject(s) of Employee ID #	Complaint Last Name		First Name		MI		EOD	Ma	iden Name
	Burke		Alfonso		- 20		6/28/04	21	
Complainant Name	Information	n (if Member se Address				City & State		- A -	Zip Code
عدي المحددة							NY		
Home Phone		Work Pt	none			Cell Phone			
Member Infor	mation (if o	complainant)							
Employee ID #	Rank/Name							_	TZS
		10000							0
		on Information	n – Dates are	required	I – Time	s are des	irable		
Receiving Me								_	20 <sup>3</sup> /
Employee ID #	Rank/Name						Date		Time
		ector Mary A. C	lark				3/2/2	0	11:11 AM
Troop Notifica		of Manuk an at 7	an Matified			_	1 Det	_	Times
Employee ID #		of Member at Tro				_	Date		Time
		aniel G. Charle	the second second				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		12:15 PM
Employee ID #	Rank/Name	of Member Notify	ring Troop			-	Date		Time
Division Notif	ication	-				_	- 40		
Employee ID #	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	of Member at Div	ision (or Duty O	fficer) Noti	fied		Date		Time
			51.5.22	- 1					
Employee ID #	Rank/Name	of Member Repor	ting Complaint	to Division	і, — I —		Date		Time
							-		
	Rank/Name of Investigating Member								
Employee ID #	Copposition Strangers	of Investigating M SC Steven F. C	k ( Dálak Clen				Date		Time

Some fields have a built-in Help feature that will work when opened with Word. With the cursor on the field, you may click F1 to invoke Help or check the status bar on the lower left for tips on data entry.

### PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS BUREAU PERSONNEL COMPLAINT TRACKING

DCN: 2020-0077 F3663	<b>REGION: PSB</b> -	NRO	LEVEL: 1	<b>TYPE: Incident Review</b>
Subject(s): Trooper Alfonso I. Burke				
Investigated by: Sgt/Sc Steven F. Casabo	ella		Troop or Deta	il: T
Staff Inspector: Michael W. Lair			Date: 7/8/20	
SUBJECT HISTORY REVIEWED? Y LLEGATION:	AUDIO?	VIDEO?	ON PROBATI	ON? []
SYNOPSIS:				
Complainant – attorney <b>Complainant</b> – attorney <b>Complainant</b> stopped for a VTL offense that he did not of BURKE whom he challenged in court sever was interviewed and stated that he polite and courteous during the stop and matching the stop and matching the stop of T Adminispector Michael W. Lair concurs.	commit. Complainan ral days before. e now believes the tr ade no further allega	affic stop was coi tions of improper	as alarmed that he ncidental. He adv conduct.	vised that Tpr Burke was
Finding of Investigation:		] Unsubstantiate ] Division Level		Investigation Date:
			Disposit	tion:
Date Forwarded to Assistant Deputy Su	perintendent – Prof	essional Standar	ds Bureau	
Assistant Deputy Superintendent – Profess			Date:	
Comments:				
Finding of Investigation: Recommended Level of Adjudication: Date Forwarded to Deputy Superintende Deputy Superintendent – Professional Star	] Troop Level 🛛 🗌	] Unsubstantiated ] Division Level tandards Bureau Date:	-	Investigation
Comments:				
Division Level Recommended Date Forwarded to First Deputy Superinte		<b>Recommended</b>		
First Deputy Superintendent	Date:			
Direction:				
Troop Level—Return to Chief Inspecto Date Forwarded to Counsel or the Chief In	spector:	on Level Forwar	rd to Division Cou	nsel
Troop Level Rank/Name of M	Member Notified			
Date Notified: Notified	by Whom:			

## NEW YORK STATE POLICE

### MEMORANDUM

Troop T Station Headquarters .

Date May 28, 2020

To: Deputy Superintendent Patrick J. Regan - Professional Standards Bureau **To:** Major Jennifer H. Gottstine, Troop Commander, Troop T

Subject: ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY - #20200077 TROOPER ALPHONSO I. BURKE

Reference is made to the above captioned administrative inquiry involving allegations made by Mr.

Enclosed please find the Zone Inquiry of Sergeant/SC STEVEN F. CASABELLA, Zone 1. Also enclosed, is related material which is forwarded for your information and files.

I have reviewed this inquiry and concur with the findings. Evidence revealed the incident to be coincidental and no Division Rules or Regulations were violated. Therefore, I recommend this matter be closed by investigation, with no further administrative action required.

Enclosures

1NSP-8 (Rev 12/16) New Yo	ork State Police	1	Page 1	
Administrative Investigation Depart	PC# 20200077		RCN #	
Administrative Investigation Report	SJS #		Date: 02/07/2020	

Тур	e of Inv	estigation-			- egis		29.43	. S.	$\{ (a,b) \}_{i=1}^{n}$	And the star			
Incid	ont Review		$\checkmark$	Level 1 P	c				On-Duty Injury/Illness				
Injury Custo		Person Prior to		Injury/IIIn into Cust		ion Being Ta	iken		njury/lllr Custody	Jury/Illness to Person While in ustody			
100.07.000	ion Vehicle	0.000		Persine the Addition	Vehicle PLA	638 <u>5</u>	[		Damage/Loss of Division Property				
		celving Initia	al Comp	laint 🔄	с		- 4		194 - 194 - 194	<u>د بعر</u>	in a constant		
Name	e (Last, First	, MI)				Rank	Rank			Employee ID #		Shield	
Cla	rk, Mar	ry, A	2			Staff Inspector H133					3 727		
Repo	rted By:	Email		205		<del>.</del>	1					an internet in	
Date	Occurred:	02/07/2020	Time Occ	urred: 4:	30 pm	Date R	eported: (	)3/02	/2020	Time Repo	orted: 11:1	1 am	
Place	e of Occurre	ance: Intersta	te 87 S	outhbo	und at	Exit 17							
	ty: Orar	nge			CITIV:	T/Newb	urgh			ст	Code: F3	663	
Syno	opsis: Col	mplainant all	eged tha	at Troop	er Burk	e condu	icted ar	ı erro	neou	sly traffic	stop on	him.	
Me	mber(s)	Involved		<u>.</u>	d <sup>00</sup>	2.1	5m - 7 - 9						
Nam	e (Last, First	, MI)		Rank		Emp	loyee ID #		TZS	Shield	Race		
Bu	rke, Alf	onso, I.		Tro	oper		T		T131	5116	Black		
	-		-	-	·				_				
											-		
Inv	olved P	erson #1 - C	OMPLAI	NANT	ner ⊻ e	5.24 S. 1.		1	1.1			1	
	e (Last, First						DOB			Raco		Sex	
										White		M	
Addr	655	×			City			State	ZI	p	Phone		
								NY					
		Rank	E	Employee ID	)#	TZS	Shield		Du	ity Time Lost	ARS #		
	Nember:									hrs			
	Nature:							e e					
	How Occu	urred:			•								
ness	Name of H	lospital/EMS:											
or III	Treated by	y:											
Injury or Illness	Treatment	t Rofusal Witnesse	d by:										
-	If Prisone	r – Charges:											
	Use of Fo	rce:	Type of For	rce: 1)				2)					
_					_								

INSP-8 (Rev 11/16)		Ne	w York St	ate Police						Page 2
Administrativo U	weetigation Den	and a	PC# 20200077							
Administrative Ir	ivestigation kep		SJS #					Date: 02/07/2		/2020
Person Interviewed #1								ht. Tracts		N/A
Name (Last, First, MI)		<u>1. 1 m 27</u>	. 2 d 🖬 .	DOB			Race		<u></u>	Sex
<b>1996 - 1</b>			•							÷ .
Address	······································	City				State	Zip		Phone	
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Person Interviewed #2	2				STER #	i Velica A	। ভিন্ন সৃত্তি		a ch	N/A
Name (Last, First, MI)			2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DOB		<u></u>	Race	1. I	- <u></u>	Sex
Åddress		City				State	Zip		Phone	
an a			<b></b>				1		1	
Vehicle #1					1.	n di serri k				-N/A
Operator (Last, First, MI)	and the second	17 _ viz _		DOB	7		Race	<u>ii</u>	* <u>X.E</u> <u>SE</u>	Sex
Make	Model		Year	l	Color		Re	g. State	Reg. #	
					1				1	
ĸĸĸĸĸĔŎĬŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎ	Mileage		VAN	#				* . * *****		
Division Vehicles:										
Vehicle #2			*** ***		. 1				. Beer to a take	
Operator (Last, First, MI)				DOB			Race			Sex
					٠			•		
Make	Model		Year	·	Color		Re	g. State	Reg. #	
	•									
	Mileage		VAN	#	.L		1.3			fi ( e
Division Vehicles:	······································								······································	
Property #1			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		s V.,			lou đải Na cuối Na cuối		N/A
Туре	Reason for Damage/Lo		<u></u>	<u>*******</u> ********	VAN		نې موي ريکېږ	<u></u>	Reg. #	
		#F=						•		
Make	Model		Year		1	Cal/Ga		Serial #	<u> </u>	*****
						-				
Property Description		Bamar	je Descri	otion		]		<u> </u>	Property	Value
		Botting						•	\$	
Aumar Ract Einst Mit	uyuya - ayyun maasaa sayaha ka ayaa ayaa ayaa ayaa ayaa ayaa a	<u> </u>	<u>,</u>	DOB			Race	······		Sex
Owner (Last, First, MI)										
Address		City				State	Zip	<del> </del>	Phone	1
MUIRDO	ال معالم المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد	~11.7								······

۰.

4

i i	×	€2 €0	
P-8 (Rev 11/16) Ne	w York State Police		Page 3
Administrative Investigation Depart	PC# 202	00077	
Administrative Investigation Report	SJS#	Date:	02/07/2020

		Last Name of Person(s) Submitting Memo(s):							
Memo(s)	$\checkmark$	Burke							
		Last Name of Pers	on(s) Signing Deposition(s) and/or	Statement(s):					
Depositions and/or Statements									
		Title and Last Nam	e	EMS Service or Medical Facility					
Medical Deposition(s)									
AMS Message									
IJ Portal Message									
GENL-34D Photo Record									
GENL-89 Use of Chemical Agent									
GENL-90 Use of Taser X26/X26P									
GENL-91 Vehicle Pursuit Report									
MV-104A Police Accident Report									
Non-SP Collision/Incident Report (TRACS)									
Audio Recording		# Enclosed:	Transcript enclosed:	Enter Source:					
Video Recording		# Enclosed:	Transcript enclosed:	Enter Source:					
GENL-81 Medical Rolease		Last Name(s):		Records Enclased: Yes No					
GENL-81E Medical Release		Employee(s) Last	Name:	Records Enclosed: Yes No					
GENL-81M Medical Release		Member(s) Last Na	ame:	Records Enclosed: Yes No					
Other Enclosures		Emai	l of Mr.	,					

1992

INSP-8 (Rev 11/16) New	w York State Police	Page 4	
	PC# 20200077		
Administrative Investigation Report	SJS #	Date:	02/07/2020
Report of:			
Name	Rank	Employee ID #	TZS
Steven F. Casabella	Sergeant/SC		T131
Signature	Date		
St/SC Sten El malalla	05/18/20		
Reviewed by:		1945 C	
Name	Rank	Employee ID #	TZS
Brian T. Ferrone	Lieutenant		T100
Signature	Date	学们和学生	
ET. Bin Time	05/18/2020		a same
1 <sup>st</sup> Endorsement	a start and a spectra		
To: Troop Commander		1014年7月3日日	《主法规律》是"法法
From: Lieutenant Brian T. Ferrone	I concur with the findings of th	s investigation:	res 🖌 No
Signature: LT Bin T. Jam	Date: 05/18/2020		Saular State
2 <sup>nd</sup> Endorsement	Landstein in the spectre		State State
To: Division Headquarters	· 《《大学》(5· 《大学》)		The state
From: CAPT DANIEL G. CHARLESON	I concur with the findings of th	s investigation: Y	es 🖌 No 🗌
Signature: 7) G.C.L.	Date: 5/26/20		
If Division Vehicle Collision check appropriate	status.	Strike in the for	Sa THE TO SEAME
Preventable	Non-Preventable		
If Personnel Complaint check appropriate stat	US STATES		A hale to the second
Founded Unfounded	Unsubstantlated	Closed by In	
If Founded; complete the following:	對於教教習家主要		A CHARLES
Date: Rule 3 Walver Form attached .	Copy of Memorandum of Dis		
Disposition Imposed under Rule 3:			
No Action Warranted Letter of Censure Intra - Troop	Transfer Probation # of days:	Loss of A.L. D # of days:	ays

.

alan ni N ,

. .

2

t

×.

•

80

202INSP-8N (Rev 11/16) N	lew York State Police	e		Page 1 of 1
Administrative Investigation Report	rt PC#	20200077		
Narrative	SJS #		Date:	02/07/2020

On March 2, 2020, the New York State Police Professional Standards Bureau received an emailed complaint from Mr. alleged that on February 7, 2020, he was unjustly stopped by Trooper ALFONSO I. BURKE (SP Newburgh) for a vehicle inspection specified that during the traffic stop, Trooper BURKE stated he mistook the violation. Mr. red 2020 inspection sticker for an expired 2019 yellow inspection sticker. Trooper BURKE then admitted his error, apologized, and released Mr. without further incident. Mr. further stated that on January 21, 2020, he represented a client at a DMV Refusal Hearing in which Trooper BURKE testified as the assisting Trooper in that arrest. Mr. believed he was intentionally stopped by Trooper BURKE because Mr. ultimately won that hearing for his client.

I interviewed Mr. **Control** regarding his complaint. Mr. **Control** stated that at the time he wrote his original emailed complaint, he was suspicious of the circumstances of the traffic stop. Mr. **Control** stated that at the time of the traffic stop, Trooper BURKE was polite, courteous, admitted his mistake, and made no mention of the refusal hearing. Mr. **Control** further stated that he now believed that if Trooper BURKE was truly being retaliatory toward him, Trooper BURKE could have kept him at the traffic stop longer or found another violation to issue a ticket for, which Trooper BURKE did not do. Mr. **Control** acknowledged the traffic stop as coincidental and declined to provide a written statement.

I interviewed Trooper BURKE, who denied Mr. **Sector allegations**. Trooper BURKE was unaware of the DMV Refusal Hearing ruling, and only learned of same during this investigation. Trooper BURKE further stated that at the time of the traffic stop, he did not recognize Mr. **Sector** as the defense attorney in that case.

Investigation revealed the incident was coincidental and that no Division Rules or Regulations were violated by Trooper BURKE. It is recommended that this incident be closed by investigation with no further administrative action necessary.

#### MEMORANDUM

Troop T Station SP Tarrytown

Date March 6, 2020

To: Major Jennifer H. Gottstine, Troop Commander, Troop T

From: Trooper Alfonso I. Burke

Subject: INCIDENT REVIEW

I was advised of my rights to representation pursuant to Article 16.2 of the contract by Sergeant/SC STEVEN F. CASABELLA on March 6, 2020. I provide the following written account.

On February 7, 2020, I was working a 1 shift out of SP Newburgh, operating marked patrol unit 1T33. At approximately 4:30 PM, I observed what I believed was an uninspected motor vehicle traveling southbound on Interstate 87, in the Town of Newburgh. I activated my emergency lights and conducted a traffic stop. Upon approaching the vehicle, I introduced myself and informed the vehicle operator why he was being stopped. Upon further investigation, I informed the operator that his vehicle was stopped in error and that his light red inspection sticker had appeared to me to be yellow when he passed me. I apologized to him and then cleared the traffic stop.

On March 6, 2020, Sergeant/SC CASABELLA informed me of a complaint that was made against me. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA advised that the complainant, identified to be Mr. March 6, 2020, Sergeant/SC CASABELLA advised that the complainant, identified to be Mr. March 6, 2020, Sergeant/SC CASABELLA advised on February 7, 2020, and was also the defense attorney at a DMV refusal hearing, held January 21, 2020, that I provided testimony in. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor of Mr. Sergeant/SC CASABELLA further advised me that the administrative law judge had ruled in favor is a sergeant for the traffic stop I conducted on Mr. Sergeant was conducted in good faith and was coincidental. ------ Original Message ------From: "troopers.sm.h.professional.standards" <<u>iaffairs@troopers.ny.gov</u>> Date: Mon, Mar 2, 2020, 11:11 AM

To:

Subject: RE: Complaints

#### Mr.

The New York State Police Professional Standards Bureau in Albany, NY, acknowledges your email. Your correspondence ... has been forwarded to the Local Troop for review.

Thank you,

#### Mary A. Clark

Staff Inspector/Professional Standards Bureau Northern Region Office

#### New York State Police

1220 Washington Avenue, Building 22 Albany, NY 12226-2252

From: [mailto:

Sent: Saturday, February 29, 2020 3:24 PM To: troopers.sm.h.professional.standards <<u>iaffairs@troopers.ny.gov</u>> Subject: Complaints

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

Good Afternoon,

I am a retired police officer. I became an attorney while I was still employed as a police officer. Now, I exclusively practice criminal defense.

On January 21, 2020 I represented a client at a DMV refusal hearing. Trooper Burke and Trooper Gigante both testified at the hearing.

DMV re-instated my

client's license because my client was not properly advised of the refusal warning. Unfortunately, I am writing this complaint regarding Trooper Burke for a different reason.

On February 7, 2020 at approximately 4:30 in the afternoon I was traveling south on the New York State Thruway in the vicinity of Exit 17. I was in the right lane. I was about to exit the thruway to travel west on Route 84 to my home in Pennsylvania. Suddenly, a State Police car was behind me and activated its emergency lights. I immediately pulled over to the right shoulder. I committed no offense and was surprised that I was being pulled over. A second state police vehicle pulled up behind the vehicle that stopped me almost immediately. The immediate arrival of the second vehicle was even more alarming. However, nothing could have been more alarming than when I saw Trooper Burke, who I recognized from a hearing where his and his partner's credibility was called into question, exit the vehicle. Trooper Burke exited his vehicle and walked backwards to the second state police vehicle. After a brief interaction, Trooper

Burke approached my vehicle. Trooper Burke told me that he stopped me because the inspection on my vehicle was expired. My inspection was not expired. My vehicle, which was leased new from a dealership in April of 2019, has a valid inspection through April of 2020. When Trooper Burke stated his basis for seizing me was based upon his belief that the red inspection sticker on my window was yellow, Trooper Burke released me. Trooper Burke was polite and courteous. However, I am alarmed that I was unlawfully seized by the same trooper whom I challenged in court just days before.

.

phone at

I look forward to your response.

. I can be reached at this email or on my cellular

Sent from Mail for Windows 10